

## Wissenschaftliches Rechnen II (Scientific Computing II)

Sommersemester 2015 Prof. Dr. Daniel Peterseim Dr. Dietmar Gallistl



Sheet 12

due date: 06. Juli 2015

## **Exercise 42.** (condition numbers)

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a quasi-uniform triangulation of the domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , that is, there are constants  $0 < c \leq C < \infty$  such that

$$c \le \frac{\max_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \operatorname{diam}(T)}{\min_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \operatorname{diam}(T)} \le C.$$

Let  $h = \max_{T \in \mathcal{T}} \operatorname{diam}(T)$ . Let  $(\lambda_z)_{z \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)}$  denote the nodal basis of  $P_0^{1,0}(\mathcal{T})$  and recall the mass and stiffness matrices

$$M = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \lambda_y \lambda_z \, dx \right]_{y, z \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \nabla \lambda_y \cdot \nabla \lambda_z \, dx \right]_{y, z \in \mathcal{N}(\Omega)}$$

- (a) Denote by  $\mu_{\min}$  and  $\mu_{\max}$  the smallest and the largest eigenvalue of M. Prove that there are mesh-size independent, positive constants  $\rho_1$ ,  $\rho_2$  such that  $\rho_1 h^d \leq \mu_{\min} \leq \mu_{\max} \leq \rho_2 h^d$ .
- (b) Prove that the spectral condition number  $\kappa_2(M) := \sqrt{\mu_{\text{max}}/\mu_{\text{min}}}$  is independent of h.
- (c) Denote by  $\sigma_{\min}$  and  $\sigma_{\max}$  the smallest and and the largest eigenvalue of S. Prove that there are mesh-size independent, positive constants  $\eta_1$ ,  $\eta_2$  such that  $\eta_1 h^d \leq \sigma_{\min} \leq \sigma_{\max} \leq \eta_2 h^{d-2}$ . Prove that these estimates are sharp.
- (d) Prove that the spectral condition number  $\kappa_2(S) := \sqrt{\sigma_{\max}/\sigma_{\min}}$  can be bounded from above by  $\mathcal{O}(h^{-2})$ . Prove that this estimate is sharp.

*Hint:* 1. Recall the Rayleigh quotient characterization of minimal/maximal eigenvalues. 2. Use the Friedrichs inequality and inverse estimates in (c).

**Exercise 43.** (Leapfrog for the 2D wave equation)

Write a program that numerically solves the wave equation

$$u_{tt} = \Delta u \text{ in } \Omega \times (0,T), \quad u(\cdot,t) = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega, \quad u(0,\cdot) = u_0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega, \quad u_t(0,\cdot) = u_0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega$$

for  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ . Use the  $P_1$  finite element method for the spacial discretization and the Leapfrog method for the time integration.

*Hint:* The system matrices (mass, stiffness) for the space discretization can be downloaded on the course website (e.g. Exercise Sheet 7).

## **Exercise 44.** (simulation of 2D wave equation)

Use the software from Exercise 43 to solve the wave equation on  $\Omega = (0,1)^2$  with the following initial data

(a) •  $u_0(x_1, x_2) = \sin(2\pi x_1)\sin(2\pi x_2)$ •  $u_1(x_1, x_2) = 0$ 

(b) • 
$$u_0(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \sin(8\pi x_1) \sin(3\pi x_2)$$
  
•  $u_1(x_1, x_2) = \exp(1 + x_2^2)$ 

Produce movies of you computed solution by using the program getMovie4Wave(T,U,speed,filename) (download from the course website), where T is the triangulation and the matrix U contains the solution for each time step in its columns. (The parameters speed and filename are optional.)

## Exercise 45. (mass lumping)

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote a triangulation of  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  with nodal basis function  $(\lambda_z)_{z \in \mathcal{N}}$ . Denote by I the nodal  $P_1$  interpolation operator. Define the matrix

$$L = \left[ \int_{\Omega} I_h(\lambda_y \lambda_z) \, dx \right]_{y, z \in \mathcal{N}}.$$

- (a) Prove that L is diagonal.
- (b) Recall the mass matrix  $M = \left[\int_{\Omega} \lambda_y \lambda_z \, dx\right]_{y,z \in \mathcal{N}}$  and prove that

$$L_{jj} = \sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{N})} M_{jk},$$

that is the diagonal entries of L are the sums of the rows of M.

 ${\it Remark:}$  This is why L is referred to as "lumped" mass matrix.

(c) Run the numerical experiments of Exercise 44 with the lumped version of the mass matrix and compare the results.