

# ①. Sheet 9

## Exercise 1

a) Weak formulation:

$$\int_0^1 u' \varphi' = \int_0^1 f \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

Due to  $C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  this implies

$$-\int_0^1 u' \cdot \varphi' = -\int_0^1 f \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

i.e.  $-f \in L^2(\Omega)$  is the weak derivative of  $u'$ , i.e.  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ .

Remark: This only works in 1D, because  $u'$  directly appears in the variational formulation in the given way.

b) Let  $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . It holds

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(a \nabla u) &= -a \cdot \Delta u - \nabla a \cdot \nabla u \\ &= a \cdot (-\Delta u) - \nabla a \cdot \nabla u \end{aligned}$$

Multiplication with  $a$  is an isomorphism on  $L^2(\Omega)$  with inverse  $a^{-1}$ . Hence,

$$u \mapsto a \cdot (-\Delta u)$$

is an isomorphism  $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ .

2. Now, note that

$$u \mapsto \nabla u$$

is bounded linear  $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega)^d$

and compact  $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)^d$

(due to compactness of embedding  $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ ).

It follows that the first-order term

$$u \mapsto -\nabla a \cdot \nabla u$$

$$H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

is linear and compact.

Therefore, the sum

$$u \mapsto a \cdot (-\Delta u) - \nabla a \cdot \nabla u$$

is Fredholm of index 0. The kernel of the operator is trivial (Lax-Milgram, on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ), hence it is an isomorphism again.

We know:

$$|u|_{H^1} \stackrel{\text{Lax-Milgram}}{\leq} \frac{1}{a_0} \cdot \|f\|_{H^{-1}} \leq \frac{c}{a_0} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

$$\|u\|_{H^1} \stackrel{\text{Poincaré}}{\leq} c |u|_{H^1} \leq \frac{c}{a_0} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

Now, rewrite the equation as

$$-\Delta u = \frac{1}{a} (f + \nabla a \cdot \nabla u), \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

3.

Estimate the rhs:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{a} (f + \nabla a \cdot \nabla u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{a_0} \left( \|f\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla a \nabla u\|_{L^2} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{a_0} \left( \|f\|_{L^2} + |a|_{C^{1,0}} \underbrace{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}}_{= \|u\|_{H^1}} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{a_0} \left( \|f\|_{L^2} + c \cdot \frac{|a|_{C^{1,0}}}{a_0} \|f\|_{L^2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_0} \left( 1 + \frac{c|a|_{C^{1,0}}}{a_0} \right) \|f\|_{L^2}$$

It follows from (1) being an isomorphism:

$$\|u\|_{H^2} \leq c_{\Omega} \left\| \frac{1}{a} (f + \nabla a \nabla u) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

$$\leq c \cdot \frac{1}{a_0} \left( 1 + \frac{|a|_{C^{1,0}}}{a_0} \right) \|f\|_{L^2}$$

All together:

$$\|u\|_{H^2 \cap H_0^1} \lesssim \frac{1}{a_0} \left( 1 + \frac{|a|_{C^{1,0}}}{a_0} \right) \|f\|_{L^2}$$

## Bonus exercise 1c (Solution sketch)

- We "guess" a solution: For symmetry reasons we know  $u'(0) = 0$ , i.e. for  $v(x) = a(x) \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$  we know:

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} v(x) \equiv 1, \quad v(0) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v(x) = -x$$

It follows:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = -\frac{x}{a(x)} = \begin{cases} -\frac{x}{2} & |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ -x & |x| > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow u(x) = \begin{cases} 7/16 - \frac{x^2}{4} & |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{x^2}{2} & |x| > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

- Verify that this guess is correct by plugging it into weak formulation...

- $u \notin H^2(-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)$ : If  $u' \in H^1(-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)$ , then  $u' \in C(-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon)$  ~~by Sobolev embedding~~ which is clearly not the case.