Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis Volume 00, No. 00, 0000, 1-1

© 2014 Juliusz Schauder Centre for Nonlinear Studies Nicolaus Copernicus University

# EQUILIBRIA ON L-RETRACTS IN RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

S. Hosseini, M. R. Pouryayevali

(Submitted by )

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce a class of subsets of Riemannian manifolds called L-retract. Next we consider a topological degree for setvalued upper semicontinuous maps defined on open sets of compact L-retracts in Riemannian manifolds. Then, we present a theorem on the existence of equilibria (or zeros) of an upper semicontinuous set-valued map with nonempty closed convex values satisfying the tangency condition defined on a compact L-retract in a Riemannian manifold.

### 1. Introduction

Let M be a Banach space and  $\phi$  be a set-(or single) valued map from M into the family of nonempty closed subsets of M and let  $S \subset M$ . The existence of a solution to the set-valued constrained equation  $0 \in \phi(x)$ ,  $x \in S$ , plays an important role in nonlinear analysis. A point  $x \in S$  such that  $0 \in \phi(x)$  is called "equilibrium" which originates from the calculus of variations and control problems. Ky Fan and F. Browder proved that given a compact convex set S in a Banach space M, an upper semicontinuous set-valued map  $\phi: S \rightrightarrows M$  with closed convex values has an equilibrium provided it is inward (or tangent) in the sense that, for each  $x \in S$ ,  $\phi(x) \cap T_S(x) \neq \emptyset$  where  $T_S(x)$  stands for the tangent cone to S at  $x \in S$  defined in the sense of convex analysis; see [4, 5, 12].

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58C30; Secondary 58C06, 55M25. Key words and phrases. Set-valued map; Degree theory; Euler characteristic; Equilibrium. The second author was partially supported by the Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Shahrekord, Iran.

This result has been generalized in several directions by many authors; see, e.g. [7, 8, 18]. In [3] the authors proved that if  $S \subset M$  is a compact L- retract with the nontrivial Euler characteristic  $\chi(S) \neq 0$  and if  $\phi: S \rightrightarrows M$  is an upper semicontinuous set-valued map with closed convex values satisfying the inwardness condition, then  $\phi$  has an equilibrium. Here the inwardness condition means

$$\forall x \in S, \ \phi(x) \cap T_S(x) \neq \emptyset,$$

where  $T_S(x)$  stands for the Clarke tangent cone to S at  $x \in S$ . If M is a smooth manifold and TM is its tangent bundle, then the existence of equilibria of a set-(or single) valued map  $\phi: S \rightrightarrows TM$  such that  $\phi(x) \subset T_xM$  may also be studied. In [16] we introduced a notion of Euler characteristic of an epi-Lipschitz subset Sof a complete Riemannian manifold M and proved some equilibria theorems for this class of sets. We defined the Euler characteristic of S by using the Cellina-Lasota degree of upper semicontinuous mappings with compact convex values. In this paper we introduce a notion of L-retract in the setting of Riemannian manifolds. We assume that S is an L-retract in a Riemannian manifold M, therefore S is an absolute neighborhood retract. Then, a topological degree for a set-valued upper semicontinuous map  $\Phi:\Omega\rightrightarrows TM$ , where TM is the tangent bundle of M and  $\Omega$  is an open set in a compact L-retract S, is presented. The presented topological degree also can be exploited to prove the existence of equilibria of an upper semicontinuous set-valued map with nonempty closed convex values satisfying the tangency condition defined on a compact L-retract with nontrivial Euler characteristic. These results are motivated by [3, 9, 10] and can be viewed as generalizations of the corresponding notions to the setting of manifolds.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we use the standard notations and known results of Riemannian manifolds, see, e.g. [11, 21]. Throughout this paper, M is a finite dimensional Riemannian manifold. As usual we denote by  $B(x,\delta)$  the open ball centered at x with radius  $\delta$ , by  $\mathrm{int}N(\mathrm{cl}N)$  the interior (closure) of the set N. Also, let S be a nonempty closed subset of a Riemannian manifold M, we define  $d_S:M\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$  by

$$d_S(x) := \inf\{d(x,s) : s \in S\},\$$

where d is the Riemannian distance on M. Moreover,  $B(S,\varepsilon) := \{x \in M : d_S(x) < \varepsilon\}$ . Recall that the set S in a Riemannian manifold M is called convex if every two points  $p_1, p_2 \in S$  can be joined by a unique minimizing geodesic whose image belongs to S. For the point  $x \in M$ ,  $\exp_x : U_x \to M$  will stand for the exponential function at x, where  $U_x$  is an open subset of  $T_xM$ . Recall that  $\exp_x$  maps straight lines of the tangent space  $T_xM$  passing through  $0_x \in T_xM$ 

into geodesics of M passing through x. We will also use the parallel transport of vectors along geodesics. Recall that, for a given curve  $\gamma:I\to M$ , number  $t_0\in I$ , and a vector  $V_0\in T_{\gamma(t_0)}M$ , there exists a unique parallel vector field V(t) along  $\gamma(t)$  such that  $V(t_0)=V_0$ . Moreover, the map defined by  $V_0\mapsto V(t_1)$  is a linear isometry between the tangent spaces  $T_{\gamma(t_0)}M$  and  $T_{\gamma(t_1)}M$ , for each  $t_1\in I$ . In the case when  $\gamma$  is a minimizing geodesic and  $\gamma(t_0)=x,\gamma(t_1)=y$ , we will denote this map by  $L_{xy}$ , and we will call it the parallel transport from  $T_xM$  to  $T_yM$  along the curve  $\gamma$ . Note that,  $L_{xy}$  is well defined when the minimizing geodesic which connects x to y, is unique. For example, the parallel transport  $L_{xy}$  is well defined when x and y are contained in a convex neighborhood. In what follows,  $L_{xy}$  will be used wherever it is well defined.

Remark 2.1. Let M be a Riemannian manifold.

(a) An easy consequence of the definition of the parallel translation along a curve as a solution to an ordinary linear differential equation, implies that the map

$$C: TM \to T_{x_0}M, \ C(x,\xi) = L_{xx_0}(\xi),$$

is continuous at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ , that is, if  $(x_n, \xi_n) \to (x_0, \xi_0)$  in TM then  $L_{x_n x_0}(\xi_n) \to L_{x_0 x_0}(\xi_0) = \xi_0$ , for every  $(x_0, \xi_0) \in TM$ ; see [1, Remark 6.11].

(b) By the continuity properties of the parallel transport and the geodesic, see [2, Theorem 35], for fixed point  $z \in M$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that:

$$||L_{xy}L_{zx} - L_{zy}|| \le \varepsilon$$
 provided that  $d(x,y) < \delta$ .

Recall that a real valued function f defined on Riemannian manifold M is said to satisfy a Lipschitz condition of rank k on a given subset S of M if  $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq kd(x,y)$  for every  $x,y \in S$ , where d is the Riemannian distance on M. A function f is said to be Lipschitz near  $x \in M$  if it satisfies the Lipschitz condition of some rank on an open neighborhood of x. A function f is said to be locally Lipschitz on M if f is Lipschitz near x, for every  $x \in M$ . Also, a setvalued map  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$ , where X, Y are topological spaces is said to be upper semicontinuous at x if for every open neighborhood U of F(x), there exists an open neighborhood V of x, such that

$$y \in V \Longrightarrow F(y) \subseteq U$$
.

Furthermore, a set-valued map  $F: X \rightrightarrows Y$ , where X, Y are topological spaces, is said to be lower semicontinuous at x if for every open neighborhood U with  $U \cap F(x) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists an open neighborhood V of x, such that

$$y \in V \Longrightarrow F(y) \cap U \neq \emptyset.$$

It is worth pointing out that a set-valued map  $F:X\rightrightarrows Y$ , where X,Y are topological spaces, is said to be lower semicontinuous (upper semicontinuous) if F is lower semicontinuous (upper semicontinuous) at every point  $x\in X$ . Let us continue with the definition of the Clarke generalized directional derivative for locally Lipschitz functions on Riemannian manifolds; see [15, 17]. Suppose  $f:M\to\mathbb{R}$  is a locally Lipschitz function on a Riemannian manifold M. Let  $\phi_x:U_x\to T_xM$  be an exponential chart at x. Given another point  $y\in U_x$ , consider  $\sigma_{y,v}(t):=\phi_y^{-1}(tw)$ , a geodesic passing through y with derivative w, where  $(\phi_y,y)$  is an exponential chart around y and  $d(\phi_x\circ\phi_y^{-1})(0_y)(w)=v$ . Then, the generalized directional derivative of f at  $x\in M$  in the direction  $v\in T_xM$ , denoted by  $f^\circ(x;v)$ , is defined as

$$f^{\circ}(x,v) = \limsup_{y \to x, \ t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(\sigma_{y,v}(t)) - f(y)}{t}.$$

We recall some results of [15] which are needed in this paper.

THEOREM 2.2. Let M be a Riemannian manifold and  $x \in M$ . Suppose that the function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz of rank K on an open neighborhood U of x. Then:

(a) for each  $y \in U$  the function  $v \mapsto f^{\circ}(y; v)$  is finite, positive homogeneous, and sub-additive on  $T_yM$ , and satisfies

$$\mid f^{\circ}(y;v) \mid \leq K ||v||.$$

(b)  $f^{\circ}(y;v)$  is upper semicontinuous on  $TM|_{U}$  and, as a function of v alone, is Lipschitz of rank K on  $T_{y}M$ , for each  $y \in U$ .

(c) 
$$f^{\circ}(y; -v) = (-f)^{\circ}(y; v)$$
 for each  $y \in U$  and  $v \in T_yM$ .

Let us present some definitions and properties of normal and tangent cones.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let S be a nonempty closed subset of a Riemannian manifold  $M, x \in S$  and  $(\varphi, U)$  be a chart of M at x. Then the (Clarke) tangent cone to S at x, denoted by  $T_S(x)$  is defined as follows:

$$T_S(x) := d\varphi(x)^{-1} [T_{\varphi(S \cap U)}(\varphi(x))],$$

where  $T_{\varphi(S\cap U)}(\varphi(x))$  is tangent cone to  $\varphi(S\cap U)$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at  $\varphi(x)$ .

Obviously,  $0_x \in T_S(x)$  and  $T_S(x)$  is closed and convex.

THEOREM 2.4. Let S be a closed subset of a Riemannian manifold M,  $x \in S$  and  $v \in T_xM$ . The following assertions hold.

- (i) If  $d_S^{\circ}(x,v) = 0$ , then  $v \in T_S(x)$ .
- (ii) Conversely, if in addition M is complete and  $v \in T_S(x)$ , then  $d_S^{\circ}(x,v) = 0$ .

In the case of submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the tangent space and the normal space are orthogonal to one another. In an analogous manner, for a closed subset S of

a Riemannian manifold, the normal cone to S at x, denoted  $N_S(x)$ , is defined as the (negative) polar of the tangent cone  $T_S(x)$ , i.e.

$$N_S(x) := T_S(x)^\circ := \{ \xi \in T_x M^* : \langle \xi, z \rangle \le 0 \quad \forall z \in T_S(x) \}.$$

### 3. Degree for set-valued tangent maps

In this section we first recall definitions of the Lefschetz number of a function  $f:S\to S$  defined on an absolute neighborhood retract S, the Euler characteristic of S and the fixed point index of a compact continuous function defined on an open subset of S, where S is a subset of a metric space M. Then, we introduce a notion of L-retract in the setting of Riemannian manifolds and present a notion of topological degree for set-valued upper semicontinuous maps defined on open subsets of L-retracts. Finally, we prove a theorem on the existence of equilibria (or zeros) of an upper semicontinuous set-valued map with nonempty closed convex values satisfying the tangency condition defined on a compact L-retract in a Riemannian manifold.

A metric space S is an absolute neighborhood retract (ANR) if, given a metric space M, a closed subset  $A \subset M$ , and a continuous map  $f: A \to S$ , then f can be extended over some neighborhood of A in M. This is equivalent to say that, S is an ANR if, whenever S is a closed subset of a metric space M, then S is a neighborhood retract of M, i.e. there is an open subset U of M containing S and a continuous map  $r: U \to S$  such that r(x) = x for  $x \in S$ ; see, e.g. [6, 22]. If S is a compact ANR, then it is homotopy dominated by a compact polyhedron and hence for any cohomology theory  $H^*(.;\mathbb{Q})$  with rational coefficients, the graded vector space  $H^*(S;\mathbb{Q})$  is of finite type. This means for all  $q \geq 0$ ,  $\beta^q := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^q(S,\mathbb{Q}) < \infty$  and for almost all  $q \geq 0$ ,  $H^q(S,\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ . Hence, for a given continuous map  $f: S \to S$ , one can consider the well-defined Lefschetz number  $\lambda(f)$  as the following

$$\lambda(f) := \sum_{q \ge 0} (-1)^q \operatorname{tr} f^{*q} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where  $f^{*q}: H^q(S; \mathbb{Q}) \to H^q(S; \mathbb{Q})$  is the induced homomorphism and tr denotes the trace. The universal coefficient theorem (see [22]) yields  $\lambda(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and the Euler characteristic of S is defined as

$$\chi(S) := \sum_{q \ge 0} (-1)^q \beta^q(S) = \lambda(i_S),$$

where  $i_S$  is the identity map on S; see [6, 22] for the details.

Assume that V is an open subset of S,  $f:V\to S$  is a compact continuous function and its fixed point set is compact. Take an open set  $U_0$  in a normed linear space E that r-dominates S; for the definition of r-dominates see [13]. Let

 $s: S \to U_0$  and  $r: U_0 \to S$  be such that  $r \circ s = 1_S$ . Then, the fixed point index of f denoted by I(f, V) is defined by

$$I(f,V) := I(s \circ f \circ r, r^{-1}(V)),$$

where  $I(s \circ f \circ r, r^{-1}(V))$  is the Leray-Schaulder index of  $s \circ f \circ r$ ; see [13].

Assume that V is an open subset of S,  $f: \operatorname{cl} V \to S$  is compact and has all its fixed points in V. Moreover, assume that the fixed point set of f is compact. Then, the fixed point index i(f, V) of f is given by

$$i(f, V) = I(f|_V, V).$$

Now we introduce the class of L-retracts in Riemannian manifolds.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let M be a Riemannian manifold and  $S \subset M$ . The set S is said to be an L-retract if there are a neighborhood U of S in M, a retraction  $r: U \to S$  i.e.  $(r(x) = x, x \in S)$ , and a constant L > 0 such that

$$d(x, r(x)) \leq Ld_S(x)$$
, for all  $x \in U$ .

Therefore if M is a Riemannian manifold and S is an L-retract, then S equipped with a topology induced from M is an absolute neighborhood retract. Let us start with an example from [16]. Recall that a subset S of a Riemannian manifold M is said to be epi-Lipschitz if at every point  $x \in S$ ,  $N_S(x) \cap (-N_S(x)) = \{0\}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.2. In [16] it is proved that any compact epi-Lipschitz subset of a complete Riemannian manifold is an L-retract. Indeed, it is proved that if S is a compact epi-Lipschitz subset of a complete Riemannian manifold M, then there exists a locally Lipschitz retraction for S, i.e. there are an open neighborhood U of S and a retraction  $r:U\to S$  which is locally Lipschitz. Hence, for each  $x\in U$ , there exists  $\epsilon(x)>0$  such that the restriction of r to the open ball  $B(x,2\epsilon(x))$  is Lipschitz with constant L(x)>0. By the compactness of S, there are  $x_1,\ldots,x_k\in S$  such that  $S\subseteq\bigcup_{i=1}^k B(x_i,\epsilon(x_i))$ . Now set  $L:=\max\{L(x_i):\ i=1,\ldots,k\}$ , and  $V:=\bigcup_{i=1}^k B(x_i,\epsilon(x_i))$ . For each  $x\in V$ , the Hopf-Rinow theorem implies that there exists  $y\in S$  such that  $d_S(x)=d(y,x)$ . Moreover, there exists  $x_i\in S$  such that  $x\in B(x_i,\epsilon(x_i))$  and  $d(x,y)\leq d(x,x_i)<\epsilon(x_i)$ . Hence  $x,y\in B(x_i,2\epsilon(x_i))$  and  $d(r(x),r(y))\leq L(x_i)d(x,y)$ . Therefore

$$d(r(x), x) \le d(x, y) + d(r(x), r(y)) \le (L+1)d(x, y).$$

Now we present a notion of topological degree for set-valued upper semicontinuous maps defined on open subsets of L-retracts. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a nonempty open set in a compact L-retact  $S \subset M$  and  $\Phi : \Omega \rightrightarrows TM$  is an upper semicontinuous map such that  $\Phi(x) \subset T_xM$ , for all  $x \in \Omega$ . The natural idea is to approximate  $\Phi$  by a continuous tangent vector field, i.e.  $f : \Omega \to TM$  such that  $f(x) \in T_S(x)$ , for all  $x \in \Omega$ . In the cases where  $x \in S \mapsto T_S(x)$  is lower semicontinuous, for instance, in epi-Lipschitz subsets of Riemannian manifolds, by using Michael selection one can approximate  $\Phi$  by a continuous vector field. However, in many other cases the lack of lower semicontinuity of  $x \in S \mapsto T_S(x)$  makes it difficult to approximate  $\Phi$ . Following [10], we overcome this difficulty by means of the next theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and  $\psi: M \Rightarrow TM$  be a lower semicontinuous map with convex values such that for every  $x \in M$ ,  $\psi(x) \subset T_xM$ , and  $\Phi: M \Rightarrow TM$  be an upper semicontinuous map with closed convex values such that for every  $x \in M$ ,  $\Phi(x) \subset T_xM$  and  $\Phi(x) \cap \psi(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then for every small  $\delta > 0$ , there is a smooth vector field  $f: M \to TM$  such that for every  $x \in M$ :

- (a) There exists  $z_x \in \psi(x)$  such that  $||z_x f(x)|| < \delta$ .
- (b) There exist  $\bar{x} \in B(x, \delta)$  and  $y_{\bar{x}} \in \Phi(\bar{x})$  such that  $||L_{\bar{x}x}(y_{\bar{x}}) f(x)|| < \delta$ .

PROOF. By Remark 2.1, the map  $y \mapsto L_{yx}(\Phi(y))$  is upper semicontinuous in a neighborhood of x. Hence, the following set is open

$$U(x) := \{ y \in B(x, \frac{r_x}{n}) : L_{yx}(\Phi(y)) \subseteq \Phi(x) + \frac{\delta}{2} B_{T_x M} \},$$

where  $r_x$  is the radius of a geodesic ball around x and  $\frac{r_x}{n} \leq \delta$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{V} := \{V\}$  is an open star-refinement of the open cover  $\mathbf{U} = \{U(x)\}_{x \in M}$ . For any  $x \in M$ , choose  $z_x \in \Phi(x) \cap \psi(x)$ , and consider the open cover  $\tau := \{T_V(x)\}_{V \in \mathbf{V}, x \in V}$  of M, where  $T_V(x) := \{y \in V : L_{yx}(\psi(y)) \cap B(z_x, \frac{\delta}{2}) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Note that  $T_V(x)$  is open because of the lower semicontinuity of  $\psi$ . Let  $\{\lambda_s\}_{s \in S}$  be a locally finite partition of unity subordinated to  $\tau$ . Then for each  $s \in S$  there exist  $V_s \in \mathbf{V}$  and  $x_s \in V_s$  such that  $\lambda_s(x') = 0$ , for  $x' \notin T_{V_s}(x_s)$ . We define the smooth vector field  $f: M \to TM$  as follows,

$$f(x) = \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s(x) L_{x_s x}(z_s), \ x \in M,$$

where  $z_s = z_{x_s}$ . Moreover, for each  $x \in M$  and each s in the finite set  $S(x) := \{s \in S : \lambda_s(x) \neq 0\}$  there exists  $z'_s \in \psi(x)$  such that  $||L_{xx_s}(z'_s) - z_s|| < \delta$ . Thus by the convexity of  $\psi(x)$ ,

$$\sum_{s \in S(x)} \lambda_s(x) z_s' \in \psi(x).$$

Hence

$$\| \sum_{s \in S(x)} \lambda_s(x) z_s' - f(x) \| \le \sum_{s \in S(x)} \lambda_s(x) \| L_{xx_s}(z_s') - z_s \| < \delta,$$

and the proof of part (a) is complete.

In order to prove part (b), for each  $x \in M$  and each  $s \in S(x)$  we have  $x \in T_{V_s}(x_s) \subset V_s$ , where  $x_s \in V_s$ . Since **V** is a star-refinement of **U**, there is  $\bar{x} \in M$ 

such that  $x, x_s \in U(\bar{x})$ . Therefore  $L_{x_s\bar{x}}(z_s) \in L_{x_s\bar{x}}(\Phi(x_s)) \subseteq \Phi(\bar{x}) + \frac{\delta}{2}B_{T_{\bar{x}}M}$  and  $\bar{x} \in B(x, \delta)$ . Set  $M_x := \max_{s \in S(x)} \{\|z_s\|\}$ . Then Remark 2.1 implies that

$$||L_{x\bar{x}}L_{x_sx}(z_s) - L_{x_s\bar{x}}(z_s)|| < \frac{\delta}{2M_x}M_x = \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

The set  $\Phi(\bar{x}) + \frac{\delta}{2} B_{T_{\bar{x}}M}$  is convex and

$$\sum_{s \in S(x)} \lambda_s(x) L_{x_s \bar{x}}(z_s) \in \Phi(\bar{x}) + \frac{\delta}{2} B_{T_{\bar{x}} M}.$$

Hence there is  $y_{\bar{x}} \in \Phi(\bar{x})$  such that

$$\|\sum_{s\in S(x)} \lambda_s(x) L_{x_s\bar{x}}(z_s) - y_{\bar{x}}\| < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Therefore  $||L_{\bar{x}x}(y_{\bar{x}}) - f(x)|| < \delta$ , as required.

Recall that the exponential map is defined on an open subset W of TM. Define a new map  $F:W\to M\times M$  by  $F(q,v)=(q,\exp_q(v))$ . Along the same lines as [19, Lemma 5.12] since the topology on TM is generated by product open sets in local trivializations, one can deduce that for every  $p\in M$ , there exists a compact subset  $U_{(p,0)}:=\{(q,v):\ q\in U_p,\|v\|\leq \delta_p\}$  of TM containing (p,0), where  $U_p$  is a compact neighborhood containing p, such that F is diffeomorphism on  $U_{(p,0)}$ . Hence there is  $C_p>0$  such that for every  $(q,v),(q,w)\in U_{(p,0)}$ ,

$$d(\exp_a(v), \exp_a(w)) \le C_p ||v - w||.$$

Now let S be a compact subset of M. Then we may write  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{p_i}, \ p_i \in S$  and set  $\delta := \min\{\delta_{p_i}, \ i=1,...,n\}, \ C := \max\{C_{p_i}: \ i=1,...,n\}$ . Hence for every  $q \in S$ , there is  $p_i \in S$  such that  $q \in U_{p_i}$ . Thus for every  $v, w \in B(0_q, \delta) \subseteq T_qM$ ,

$$d(\exp_a(v), \exp_a(w)) \le C||v - w||.$$

REMARK 3.4. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a nonempty open set in a compact L-retact  $S \subset M$  and  $\Phi: \Omega \rightrightarrows TM$  is an upper semicontinuous map such that  $\Phi(x) \subset T_xM$ , for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Since  $\Phi$  is upper semicontinuous  $Z(\Phi) = \{x \in \Omega: 0 \in \Phi(x)\}$  is closed in  $\Omega$ . Assume that  $Z(\Phi)$  is compact, therefore there exists an open relatively compact set V in S such that  $Z(\Phi) \subset V \subset \operatorname{cl} V \subset \Omega$ . Hence there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(Z(\Phi), \delta) \subset V$ . Therefore, along the same lines as [10, Lemma 2.4], there is  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \operatorname{cl} V$ , if  $y \in B(x, \varepsilon_0)$  and  $z_y \in \Phi(y)$  with  $\|z_y\| < \varepsilon_0$ , then  $x \in B(Z(\Phi), \delta) \subset V$ . In what follows  $r: U \to S$  is a retraction for S with the constant L and  $\varepsilon_1 := \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\max\{(C+1)(3L+4L^2)+1,(C+1)L+1\}}$ .

In order to be able to define the degree of  $\Phi:\Omega\rightrightarrows TM,$  we need the following assumptions;

•  $Z(\Phi)$  is compact.

•  $\Phi: \Omega \to TM$  is upper semicontinuous with compact convex values and  $\Phi(x) \cap T_S(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .

Note that by Theorem 2.2, it follows that the map  $\psi: S \rightrightarrows TM$  defined by

$$\psi(x) := \{ v \in T_x M : \ d_S^{\circ}(x, v) < \varepsilon \},$$

has convex values with an open graph, and hence it is lower semicontinuous. Theorem 2.4 implies that  $T_S(x) \subseteq \psi(x)$ , so if  $\Phi(x) \cap T_S(x) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\psi(x) \cap \Phi(x) \neq \emptyset$ , for every  $x \in S$ . It follows from Theorem 3.3 that there is a vector field f such that the following hold:

- (a) There exists  $z_x \in \psi(x)$  such that  $||z_x f(x)|| < \varepsilon$ .
- (b) There exist  $\bar{x} \in B(x, \varepsilon)$  and  $y_{\bar{x}} \in \Phi(\bar{x})$  such that  $||L_{\bar{x}x}(y_{\bar{x}}) f(x)|| < \varepsilon$ . We are now ready to prove our next lemma.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that S is a compact L-retract in a complete Riemannian manifold M and  $\Omega$  is a nonempty open set in S. Let  $\Phi: \Omega \rightrightarrows TM$  be an upper semicontinuous map with convex compact values such that  $\Phi(x) \subset T_xM$ , for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_2]$  and any two  $\varepsilon$ -approximations  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$  of  $\Phi$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f_{\lambda}: clV \to TM$  defined by  $f_{\lambda}(x) = (1 - \lambda)f_0(x) + \lambda f_1(x)$  is an  $\varepsilon_1$ -approximation of  $\Phi$ .

PROOF. Let  $x \in clV$  be arbitrary. Since  $y \mapsto L_{yx}(\Phi(y))$  is upper semi-continuous on a nieghborhood of x, there exists  $\varepsilon' > 0$  such that for every  $y \in B(x,\varepsilon')$ ,  $L_{yx}(\Phi(y)) \subseteq \Phi(x) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4}B_{T_xM}$ . Now set  $\varepsilon_2 \leq \min\{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2},\frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}\}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_2]$ . Let  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$  be  $\varepsilon$ -approximations of  $\Phi$ , i.e. there exist x',  $x'' \in B(x,\varepsilon)$  and  $y_{x'} \in \Phi(x')$ ,  $y_{x''} \in \Phi(x'')$  such that

$$||f_0(x) - L_{x'x}(y_{x'})|| < \varepsilon, ||f_1(x) - L_{x''x}(y_{x''})|| < \varepsilon.$$

The upper semicontinuity of  $y \mapsto L_{yx}(\Phi(y))$  implies that  $L_{x'x}(\Phi(x')) \subseteq \Phi(x) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4}B_{T_xM}$  and  $L_{x''x}(\Phi(x'')) \subseteq \Phi(x) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4}B_{T_xM}$ . Hence, there exist  $z_x$ ,  $w_x \in \Phi(x)$  such that

$$||z_x - L_{x'x}(y_{x'})|| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4}, ||w_x - L_{x''x}(y_{x''})|| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{4}.$$

Since  $\Phi$  has convex values, it follows that  $(1 - \lambda)w_x + \lambda z_x \in \Phi(x)$  and

$$\begin{split} &\|(1-\lambda)f_0(x) + \lambda f_1(x) - ((1-\lambda)z_x + \lambda w_x)\| \le \\ &(1-\lambda)\|f_0(x) - L_{x'x}(y_{x'})\| + \lambda \|f_1(x) - L_{x''x}(y_{x''})\| + \\ &(1-\lambda)\|z_x - L_{x'x}(y_{x'})\| + \lambda \|w_x - L_{x''x}(y_{x''})\| \le \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \le \varepsilon_1, \end{split}$$

as required.

THEOREM 3.6. Let  $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_1$ , and  $f : \text{cl}V \times [0,1] \to TM$  be a continuous function such that for any  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ ,  $f_{\lambda}(.) = f(.,\lambda)$  is an  $\varepsilon$ - approximation of  $\Phi$ . Then there is  $\eta > 0$  such that for all  $t \in (0,\eta)$ ,  $x \in \text{cl}V$  and  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ :

(i) There is  $y_x \in B(f_\lambda(x), \varepsilon)$  such that  $d_S(\exp_x(ty_x)) < t\varepsilon$ .

- (ii)  $\exp_x(tf_{\lambda}(x)) \in U$ .
- (iii) If  $x = r(\exp_x(tf_{\lambda}(x)))$ , then  $x \in B(Z(\Phi), \delta) \subset V$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is defined in Remark 3.4.

PROOF. (i) Arguing by contradiction, suppose that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $t_n \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$  and  $x_n \in \text{cl} V$  and  $\lambda_n \in [0, 1]$  such that if  $y_{x_n} \in B(f_{\lambda_n}(x_n), \varepsilon)$ , then  $d_S(\exp_{x_n}(t_ny_{x_n})) \geq t_n\varepsilon$ . Since cl V and [0, 1] are compact, there are  $x \in \text{cl} V$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that  $x_n \to x$  and  $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ . Note that  $f_{\lambda}$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation of  $\Phi$ . Hence there exists  $y_x \in B(f_{\lambda}(x), \varepsilon)$  such that  $d_S^{\circ}(x, y_x) < \varepsilon$ . We choose  $w_n$  from the definition of generalized directional derivative such that

$$d(\exp_x^{-1} \circ \exp_{x_n})(0_{x_n})(w_n) = y_x.$$

For large  $n, w_n \in B(f_{\lambda_n}(x_n), \varepsilon)$ , hence  $t_n \varepsilon > d_S(\exp_{x_n}(t_n w_n)) \ge t_n \varepsilon$ , a contradiction.

- (ii) For every  $x \in \operatorname{cl} V$ , let  $B(x,r_x)$  be a geodesic ball around x. By the compactness of  $\operatorname{cl} V$ ,  $\operatorname{cl} V \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B(x_i,r_i)$ . Now define the continuous map  $g: \overline{B(x_i,r_i)} \cap \operatorname{cl} V \to T_{x_i} M$  by  $g(x) = L_{xx_i}(f_{\lambda}(x))$ . Hence there exists  $M_i$  such that  $\|L_{xx_i}(f_{\lambda}(x))\|_{x \in \overline{B(x_i,r_i)} \cap \operatorname{cl} V} \leq M_i$ . Set  $M_0 := \max\{M_i: i=1,...,n\}$ . For every  $x \in \operatorname{cl} V$ , there exists  $x_i$  such that  $x \in B(x_i,r_i)$  and  $\|f_{\lambda}(x)\| = \|L_{xx_i}(f_{\lambda}(x))\| \leq M_0$ . Note that  $t\|f_{\lambda}(x)\| \to 0$  as  $t \to 0$ . Therefore  $\exp_x(tf_{\lambda}(x)) \to x \in U$ .
- (iii) If  $x \in \text{cl} V$  and  $r(\exp_x(tf_\lambda(x))) = x$ , then (i) implies that there exists  $y_x \in B(f_\lambda(x), \varepsilon)$  such that

$$||f_{\lambda}(x)|| = t^{-1}d(\exp_{x}(tf_{\lambda}(x)), x) = t^{-1}d(\exp_{x}(tf_{\lambda}(x)), r(\exp_{x}(tf_{\lambda}(x))))$$

$$\leq t^{-1}Ld_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf_{\lambda}(x))) \leq t^{-1}Ld_{S}(\exp_{x}(ty_{x})) + t^{-1}Ld(\exp_{x}(ty_{x}), \exp_{x}(tf_{\lambda}(x)))$$

$$\leq t^{-1}Ld_{S}(\exp_{x}(ty_{x})) + LC||y_{x} - f_{\lambda}(x)||$$

$$\leq (C+1)L\varepsilon.$$

Note that  $\eta$  can be chosen as  $tf_{\lambda}(x), ty_x \in B(0, \delta)$ , where  $\delta$  is such that  $\exp_x$  is C-Lipschitz on  $B(0, \delta)$ . On the other hand there exist  $x' \in B(x, \varepsilon)$  and  $y_{x'} \in \Phi(x')$  such that  $||f_{\lambda}(x) - L_{x'x}(y_{x'})|| < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $x \in B(Z(\Phi), \delta)$ .

Take  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$  and let  $f : \operatorname{cl} V \to TM$  be an arbitrary  $\varepsilon$ -approximation of  $\Phi$ . By Theorem 3.6, for  $t \in (0, \eta)$ , the single valued map  $g_t^{\varepsilon} : \operatorname{cl} V \to S$  defined by  $g_t^{\varepsilon}(x) := r(\exp_x(tf(x)))$  is well defined.

Now we define

(3.1) 
$$\deg(\Phi,\Omega) := \lim_{\varepsilon,t \to 0^+} i(g_t^\varepsilon,V).$$

Along the same lines as [10, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8], for  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \eta$ , one has  $i(g_{t_1}^{\varepsilon}, V) = i(g_{t_2}^{\varepsilon}, V)$ . Moreover, if  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are  $\varepsilon$ -approximations of  $\Phi$ , then for all  $0 < t < \eta$ ,  $i(g_0, V) = i(g_1, V)$ , where  $g_i : \text{cl}V \to S$  are defined by  $g_i(x) := r(\exp_x(tf_i(x)))$ , for i = 0, 1. This definition does not depend on the

choice of  $\varepsilon$ -approximation and stabilizes when  $0 < t < \eta$ . Moreover, It does not depend on the choice of r. Indeed, suppose that f is an  $\varepsilon$ -approximation of  $\Phi$ ,  $g(x) := r(\exp_x(tf(x)))$  and  $g(x)' := r'(\exp_x(tf(x)))$ , where  $t \in (0, \eta)$ ,  $x \in \text{cl} V$ . For  $x \in \text{cl} V$ , assume that  $\gamma_x : [0,1] \to S$  is a geodesic connecting  $r(\exp_x(tf(x)))$  and  $r'(\exp_x(tf(x)))$ . Since M is complete, for  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , there exists  $v \in T_{g(x)}M$  such that  $\gamma_x(\lambda) := \exp_{g(x)}(\lambda v)$  connects g(x) and g'(x). Without loss of generality we can suppose that  $\gamma_x(\lambda) \in U$ ,  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , because

$$d_{S}(\gamma_{x}(\lambda)) \leq d_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf(x))) + d(\exp_{g(x)}(\lambda v), \exp_{x}(tf(x)))$$

$$\leq d_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf(x))) + d(\exp_{g(x)}(\lambda v), g(x)) + d(\exp_{x}(tf(x)), g(x))$$

$$\leq d_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf(x))) + \lambda d(g(x), g'(x)) + Ld_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf(x)))$$

$$\leq d_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf(x))) + \lambda d(g(x), \exp_{x}(tf(x))) + \lambda d(g'(x), \exp_{x}(tf(x)))$$

$$+ Ld_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf(x)))$$

$$\leq (1 + 3L)d_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf(x))) \leq (1 + 3L)d(x, \exp_{x}(tf(x)))$$

$$= (1 + 3L)t||f(x)|| \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0.$$

Now let  $h(x,\lambda) := r(\gamma_x(\lambda)), x \in \text{cl}V, \lambda \in [0,1]$ . If  $x = h(x,\lambda)$ , for  $x \in \text{cl}V, \lambda \in [0,1]$ , then

$$\begin{split} &\|f(x)\| = t^{-1}d(h(x,\lambda), \exp_x(tf(x))) \\ &\leq t^{-1}d(\exp_x(tf(x)), \gamma_x(\lambda)) + t^{-1}d(h(x,\lambda), \gamma_x(\lambda)) \\ &\leq t^{-1}d(\exp_x(tf(x)), r(\exp_x(tf(x)))) + t^{-1}d(r(\exp_x(tf(x))), \gamma_x(\lambda)) \\ &+ t^{-1}d(h(x,\lambda), \gamma_x(\lambda)) \\ &\leq t^{-1}d(\exp_x(tf(x)), r(\exp_x(tf(x)))) + \lambda t^{-1}d(g'(x), g(x)) + t^{-1}d(h(x,\lambda), \gamma_x(\lambda)) \\ &\leq t^{-1}d(\exp_x(tf(x)), r(\exp_x(tf(x)))) + t^{-1}\lambda d(\exp_x(tf(x)), g(x)) \\ &+ t^{-1}\lambda d(\exp_x(tf(x)), g'(x)) + t^{-1}d(h(x,\lambda), \gamma_x(\lambda)) \\ &\leq t^{-1}3Ld_S(\exp_x(tf(x))) + t^{-1}Ld_S(\gamma_x(\lambda)) \leq t^{-1}(4L + 3L^2)d_S(\exp_x(tf(x))). \end{split}$$

By Theorem 3.6, there is  $y_x \in B(f(x), \varepsilon)$  such that

$$t^{-1}(3L^{2} + 4L)d_{S}(\exp_{x}(tf(x)))$$

$$\leq t^{-1}(3L^{2} + 4L)(d_{S}(\exp_{x}(ty_{x}) + d(\exp_{x}(tf(x)), \exp_{x}(ty_{x}))))$$

$$\leq t^{-1}(3L^{2} + 4L)d_{S}(\exp_{x}(ty_{x})) + (3L^{2} + 4L)C||f(x) - y_{x}||$$

$$\leq (3L^{2} + 4L)(C + 1)\varepsilon.$$

Thus one can deduce that  $x \in B(Z(\Phi), \delta)$  and h provides a homotopy between g and g'.

THEOREM 3.7. The degree defined by (3.1) has the following properties;

• (Existence) If  $deg(\Phi, \Omega) \neq 0$ , then  $Z(\Phi) \neq \emptyset$ .

• (additivity) If  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \Omega$  are open in S and  $Z(\Phi) \subset (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \setminus (\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2)$ , then

$$\deg(\Phi, \Omega) = \deg(\Phi|_{\Omega_1}, \Omega_1) + \deg(\Phi|_{\Omega_1}, \Omega_1).$$

- (Normalization)  $deg(\Phi, S) = \chi(S)$ .
- (Homotopy invariance) Assume that  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1 : \Omega \rightrightarrows TM$  are homotopic in the sense that there is an upper semicontinuous map  $\Phi : \Omega \rightrightarrows TM$  with compact convex values such that  $\Phi(.,i) = \Phi_i, i = 0,1$ , for all  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda \in [0,1], \Phi(x,\lambda) \in T_xM$  and  $\Phi(x,\lambda) \cap T_S(x) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\{x \in \Omega \mid x \in \Phi(x,\lambda) \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0,1]\}$  is compact.

PROOF. We only need to prove the first property, because other statements can be proved along the same lines as Proposition 2.10 in [10]. To prove the first property; assume that  $\deg(\Phi,\Omega) \neq 0$ , then for t,  $\varepsilon$  small enough,  $i(g_t^{\varepsilon},V) \neq 0$ . Therefore, there is  $x \in \operatorname{cl} V$  such that  $x = r(\exp_x(tf(x)))$ . Assume that  $y_x \in B(f(x),\varepsilon)$  such that  $d_S(\exp_x(ty_x)) < t\varepsilon$ , then

$$||f(x)|| = t^{-1}d(\exp_x(tf(x)), x) = t^{-1}d(\exp_x(tf_{\lambda}(x)), r(\exp_x(tf(x))))$$

$$\leq t^{-1}Ld_S(\exp_x(tf(x))) \leq t^{-1}Ld_S(\exp_x(ty_x)) + t^{-1}Ld(\exp_x(ty_x), \exp_x(tf(x)))$$

$$\leq t^{-1}Ld_S(\exp_x(ty_x)) + LC||y_x - f(x)||$$

$$\leq (C+1)L\varepsilon.$$

Using the compactness of clV and the upper semicontinuity of  $\Phi$ , we have  $Z(\Phi) \neq \emptyset$ .

It is now obvious by the existence and normalization properties of the degree that if S is a compact L-retract and  $\chi(S)$  is nontrivial, then  $\Phi$  has an equilibrium.

THEOREM 3.8. Let S be a compact L-retract subset of a complete Riemannian manifold M with nontrivial Euler characteristic. Suppose that  $\Phi: S \rightrightarrows TM$  is an upper semicontinuous map with compact convex values such that

$$\Phi(x) \subset T_xM$$
,  $\Phi(x) \cap T_S(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in S$ .

Then  $\Phi$  has an equilibrium.

## References

- [1] D. AZAGRA, J. FERRERA AND F. LÓPEZ-MESAS, Nonsmooth analysis and Hamilton-Jacobi equations on Riemannian manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 220 (2005), 304-361.
- [2] D. AZAGRA AND J. FERRERA, Applications of proximal calculus to fixed point theory on Riemannian manifolds, Nonlinear. Anal. 67 (2007), 154-174.
- [3] H. Ben-El-Mechaiekh and W. Kryszewski, Equilibria of set-valued maps on nonconvex domains, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 346 (1997), 4159-4179.
- [4] G.M. BERGMAN AND B.R. HALPERN, A fixed point theorem for inward and outward maps, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (1968), 353-358.

- [5] F. E. BROWDER, The fixed point theory of multivalued mappings in topological vector spaces, Math. Ann. 117 (1968), 283-301.
- [6] R. Brown, The Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem, Scott, Foresman and Company, Glenview IL, London, 1971.
- [7] B. CORNET, Paris avec handicaps et théoremès de surjectivité de correspondances, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A 281 (1975), 479-482.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Euler characteristic and fixed point theorems, Positivity 6 (2002), 243-260.
- [9] B. CORNET AND M.-O. CZARNECKI, Existence of generalized equilibria, Nonlinear. Anal. 44 (2001), 555-574.
- [10] A. CWISZEWSKI AND W. KRYSZEWSKI, Homotopy invariants for tangent vector fields on closed sets, Nonlinear. Anal. 65 (2006), 175-209.
- [11] M. P. DO CARMO, Riemannian Geometry, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1992.
- [12] K. FAN, Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder, Math. Z. 112 (1969), 234-240.
- [13] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, Fixed Point Theory, Springer, Berlin 2004.
- [14] M. W. Hirsch, Differential Topology, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 33, Springer, New York 1976.
- [15] S. Hosseini and M. R. Pouryayevali, Generalized gradients and characterization of epi-Lipschitz sets in Riemannian manifolds, Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011), 3884-3895.
- [16] \_\_\_\_\_, Euler characterization of epi-Lipschitz subsets of Riemannian manifolds, J. Convex. Anal. 20 (2013), 67-91.
- [17] \_\_\_\_\_\_, On the metric projection onto prox-regular subsets of Riemannian manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), 233-244.
- [18] J. M. LASRY AND R. ROBERT, Analyse nonlinéaire multivoque, Cahiers de Math. de la Décision 7611 (Université de Paris-Dauphine, Paris 1976).
- [19] J. M. LEE, Riemannian Manifolds, An Introuction to Curvature, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 176, Springer, New York 1997.
- [20] J. MILNOR, Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint, Princton University Press, Princton, New Jersey, 1965.
- [21] T. Sakai, Riemannian Geometry, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 149, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [22] E. Spanier, Algebraic Topology, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.

Manuscript received MONTH 00, 0000

S. HOSSEINI Seminar for Applied Mathematics ETH Zürich

Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

E-mail address: seyedehsomayeh.Hosseini@math.ethz.ch

M. R. POURYAYEVALI Department of Mathematics University of Isfahan P.O.Box 81745-163, Isfahan, Iran

E-mail address: pourya@math.ui.ac.ir

TMNA : Volume 00 - 0000 -  $N^{\rm o}$  00