A Convergent Finite Volume type O-method on Evolving Surfaces

Simplice Firmin Nemadjieu

Endenicher Allee 60, 51115 Bonn, Germany, (simplice.nemadjieu@ins.uni-bonn.de)

Abstract. We present a finite volume scheme for anisotropic diffusion on evolving hypersurfaces. The underlying motion is assumed to be described by a fixed, not necessarily normal, velocity field. The ingredients of the numerical method are an approximation of the family of surfaces by a family of interpolating polygonal meshes, where grid vertices move on motion trajectories, a consistent finite volume discretization of the induced transport on the cells (polygonal patches), and a proper incorporation of a diffusive flux balance at polygonal faces. The main stability results and convergence estimate are obtained.

Keywords: Finite volume method, evolving surfaces, transport diffusion equations **PACS:** 35K57, 58J35, 65M12, 65M15, 74H15, 76E06, 76M12.

INTRODUCTION

In many applications, evolution problems do not reside on a flat Euclidean domain but on a curved hypersurface. Frequently this surface is itself evolving in time driven by some velocity field. In [1] Dziuk and Elliot proposed a finite element scheme for the numerical simulation of diffusion processes on such evolving surfaces, and in [2] a finite volume variant was proposed for simulation on simplicial meshes. In this paper we introduce a finite volume methodology for simulation of diffusion process on general evolving polygonal meshes. our finite volume is closely related to the pioneer work by C. Le Potier in [3] and the work of K. Lipnikov, M. Shashkov and I. Yotov in [4].

MATHEMATICAL MODEL

We consider a family of compact, smooth, and oriented hypersurfaces $\Gamma(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (n = 2, 3) for $t \in [0, t_{max}]$ generated by a time dependent function $\Phi : [0, t_{max}] \times \Gamma_0 \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined on a reference surface Γ_0 with $\Phi(t, \Gamma_0) = \Gamma(t)$. Let us assume that Γ_0 is C^3 smooth and that $\Phi \in C^1([0, t_{max}], C^3(\Gamma_0))$. For simplicity we assume the reference surface Γ_0 to coincide with $\Gamma(0)$ (cf. 2).

We denote by $v = \partial_t \Phi$ the velocity of material points. The evolution of a conservative material quantity *u* with $u(t, \cdot) : \Gamma(t) \to \mathbb{R}$, which is propagated with the surface and simultaneously undergoes a linear diffusion on the surface, is governed by the parabolic equation

$$\dot{u} + u\nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot v - \nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot (\mathscr{D}\nabla_{\Gamma} u) = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma = \Gamma(t), \tag{1}$$

where $\dot{u} = \frac{d}{dt}u(t,x(t))$ is the (advective) material derivative of u, $\nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot v$ the surface divergence of the vector field v, $\nabla_{\Gamma}u$ the surface gradient of the scalar field u, g a source term with $g(t, \cdot) : \Gamma(t) \to \mathbb{R}$ and \mathscr{D} a diffusion tensor on the tangent bundle. Here we assume a symmetric, uniformly coercive C^2 diffusion tensor field on whole \mathbb{R}^n to be given, whose restriction on the tangent plane is then effectively incorporated in the model. With a slight misuse of notation, we denote this global tensor field also by \mathscr{D} . Furthermore, we impose an initial condition $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$ at time 0, and treat the case of surfaces with boundary. We then consider a Dirichlet boundary condition $u(t, \cdot) := u|_{\partial\Gamma}(t, \cdot)$ on the boundary $\partial\Gamma(t)$. Let us assume that the mappings $(t,x) \to u(t,\Phi(t,x)), v(t,\Phi(t,x))$, and $g(t,\Phi(t,x))$ are $C^1([0,t_{max}], C^3(\Gamma_0)), C^0([0,t_{max}], C^3(\Gamma_0))$ and $C^1([0,t_{max}], C^1(\Gamma_0))$ regular, respectively.

DERIVATION OF THE FINITE VOLUME SCHEME

For the ease of presentation we restrict ourselves to the case of two dimensional surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 . let us give some preliminary definitions

Definition 0.1 (*Cell, cell center and vertices*) We call cell S a continuous 3D fan of triangles, where each triangle shares an edge with the preceding triangle, and all triangles share a common pivot point X_S called cell center or center point. The corner points p_i , ($i = 0, 1, \cdots$) as depicted on Figure 1 (left) will be called vertices.



Figure 1. Admissible cell *S* and corresponding normals $v_{S_{i,j}}$ to triangles (left), sub-cells S_{p_i} of *S*, virtual unknowns $X_{p_2,1/2}^k$, $X_{p_2,3/2}^k$ of $S_{p_2} \equiv S_{p_2,1}$ and associated contravariant vectors $\mu_{p_2,1/2}^k$, $\mu_{p_2,3/2}^k$ (middle), sub-cells $S_{p_2,j}$ around the vertex p_2 (right).

Definition 0.2 (Admissible cell) Let S be a cell, X_S its center point, and p_i $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n_S - 1)$ its n_S vertices. For a given vertex p_i we denote by $\mathbf{v}_{S_{i,j}} = \overrightarrow{X_S p_i} \wedge \overrightarrow{X_S p_j} / (\| \overrightarrow{X_S p_i} \wedge \overrightarrow{X_S p_j} \|)$ $(j \equiv (i+1) \mod n_S)$ the normal of the triangle $[X_S, p_i, p_j]$ if it has a non zero measure. We will then call S admissible cell if for any $i, j \equiv (i+1) \mod n_S$, $l \equiv (j+1) \mod n_S$, $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_S - 1\}$ $\| \overrightarrow{X_S p_i} \| \le \max_{l,m} \| \overrightarrow{p_l p_m} \|$ and $\mathbf{v}_{S_{i,j}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{S_{j,l}} > 0$ for well defined normals.

Definition 0.3 (admissible polygonal surface) We define an admissible polygonal surface Γ_h as a C⁰ union of admissible cells where for two different cells S_i , $S_k \subset \Gamma_h$, $S_i \cap S_k$ is either an interface $\sigma = S_i | S_k = [p_i p_j]$, a vertex p_i , or is merely empty.

We now consider a sequence of admissible polygonal surfaces $\{\Gamma_h^k\}_{k=0,...k_{max}}$ with Γ_h^k interpolating $\Gamma(t_k)$ for $t_k = k\tau$ and $k_{max}\tau = t_{max}$. Here, *h* indicates the maximal diameter of a cell on the whole sequence of polygonization, τ the time step size and *k* the index of a time step. All polygonisation share the same grid topology, and given the set of vertices p_i^0



Figure 2. Zoom of sequence of polygonization Γ_h^k interpolating a surface in its evolution.

on the initial polygonal surface Γ_h^0 , the vertices of Γ_h^k lie on motion trajectories. Thus, they are evaluated based on the flux function Φ , i. e. $p_j(t_k) = \Phi(t_k, p_j^0)$. Upper indices denote the explicit geometric realization at the corresponding time step. We further assume that at each time step t_k , the Euclidean distance from any center point X_S^k to $\Gamma(t_k)$ is less than h^2 and the sub-cells $S_{i,j}^k := [X_S^k, p_i^k, p_{i+1}^k]$ (i + 1 being the following index) are uniformly regular triangles. At each time step t_k , we consider a virtual subdivision of each cell S^k with n_S vertices into n_S polygonal sub-cells $\{S_{p_i}\}_{i=1,\cdots,n_S}$ sharing X_S as depicted on Figure 1 (middle). This induces a subdivision of each edge $\sigma = [p_i, p_j] \subset \partial S$ into two sub-edges. Let us consider a vertex p_i and reorganize its surrounding cells S_j counter clockwise (cf. Figure 1 (right)). We call $\sigma_{p_i,j-1/2}$ and $\sigma_{p_i,j+1/2}$ the two sub-edges of S_j incident at p_i , and on each of these sub-edges, we respectively put the virtual unknowns $X_{p_i,j-1/2}^k$ and $X_{p_i,j+1/2}^k$. We recall that the index "j - 1/2" refers to the next sub-edge.

Sub-edge. On $S_{p_i,j}$, sub-cell of S_j containing p_i , we define the covariant vectors $e_{p_i,j|j-1}^k := X_{p_i,j-1/2}^k - X_{S_j}^k$ and $e_{p_i,j|j+1}^k := X_{p_i,j+1/2}^k - X_{S_j}^k$ and their contravariant counter part $\mu_{p_i,j|j-1}^k$ and $\mu_{p_i,j|j+1}^k$ in $T_{p_i}^k := Span\{e_{p_i,j|j-1}^k, e_{p_i,j|j+1}^k\}$ such that

 $\mu_{p_i,j|j-1}^k \cdot e_{p_i,j|j-1}^k = 1, \ \mu_{p_i,j|j-1}^k \cdot e_{p_i,j|j+1}^k = 0, \ \mu_{p_i,j|j+1}^k \cdot e_{p_i,j|j-1}^k = 0 \text{ and } \mu_{p_i,j|j+1}^k \cdot e_{p_i,j|j+1}^k = 1 \text{ (cf. Figure 1 (middle)).}$ Using this dual system of vectors, we define on $S_{p_i,j}$ the natural approximation of tangential gradient $\nabla u(t_k, \cdot)|_{S_{p_i,j}} \approx \nabla_{p_i,j}^k u := [u(\mathscr{P}^k(X_{p_i,j-1/2}^k)) - u(\mathscr{P}^k(X_{S_j}^k))]\mu_{p_i,j|j-1}^k + [u(\mathscr{P}^k(X_{p_i,j+1/2}^k)) - u(\mathscr{P}^k(X_{S_j}^k))]\mu_{p_i,j|j+1}^k.$ Here, \mathscr{P}^k is the orthogonal projection onto the smooth surface when applied to interior points of Γ^k , and the orthogonal projection onto the boundary of the smooth surface when applied to boundary points of Γ^k . Based on these notational preliminaries, we can now derive a suitable finite volume discretization. Let us integrate (1) in $\{(t,x)|t \in [t_k,t_{k+1}], x \in S^{l,k}(t)\}$ $(S^{l,k}(t)) := \Phi(t, \mathscr{P}^k(S^k) \cap \Gamma(t_k))$ where \mathscr{P}^k is taken to be the projection of onto a suitable extension of $\Gamma(t_k)$. We should mention here that \mathscr{P}^k is context depend.

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \int_{S^{l,k}(t)} g \, da \, dt \approx \tau m_S^{k+1} G_S^{k+1} \tag{2}$$

where $G_S^{k+1} = g\left(t_{k+1}, \mathscr{P}^{k+1}X_S^{k+1}\right)$ and m_S^{k+1} the measure of S^{k+1} . The use of the Leibniz formula leads to the following approximation of the material derivative

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \int_{S^{l,k}(t)} \left(\dot{u} + u \nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot v \right) da dt = \int_{S^{l,k}(t_{k+1})} u da - \int_{S^{l,k}(t_k)} u da \approx m_S^{k+1} u \left(t_{k+1}, \mathscr{P}^{k+1} X_S^{k+1} \right) - m_S^k u \left(t_k, \mathscr{P}^k X_S^k \right).$$

$$\tag{3}$$

Furthermore, integrating the elliptic term again over the temporal evolution of a lifted cell and applying Gauss' theorem, we derive the following approximation:

$$\int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \int_{S^{l,k}(t)} \nabla_{\Gamma} \cdot (\mathscr{D} \nabla_{\Gamma} u) \, da \, dt = \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} \int_{\partial S^{l,k}(t)} \mathscr{D} \nabla_{\Gamma} u \cdot \mu_{\partial S^{l,k}(t)} \, dl \, dt$$

$$\approx \quad \tau \sum_{p_{i}} \left(m_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} (\mathscr{D}_{S}^{k+1} \nabla_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} u) \cdot n_{p_{i},j(S)|j(S)+1}^{k+1} + m_{p_{i},j(S)-1/2}^{k+1} (\mathscr{D}_{S}^{k+1} \nabla_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} u) \cdot n_{p_{i},j(S)|j(S)-1}^{k+1} \right)$$
(4)

where $\mu_{\partial S^{l,k}(t)}$ is the unit outward co-normal on $\partial S^{l,k}(t)$ tangential to $\Gamma(t)$ and $m_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1}$ the measure of $\sigma_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1}$. The summation is done over the vertices p_i of the topological cell S, j(S) denotes the reordered index number of S as a cell of the cluster of cells around p_i ; $\mathscr{D}_S^{k+1} := \mathscr{D}(t_{k+1}, X_S^{k+1})$, and $m_{p_{i},j(S)|j(S)+1}^{k+1}$ respectively $m_{p_{i},j(S)|j(S)+1}^{k+1}$ being defined as the unit outward co-normal vectors of $S_{p_{i},j(S)}^k$. These last vectors belong to the plane $T_{p_{i},j}^{k+1}$ and are respectively normal to $\sigma_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1}$ and $\sigma_{p_{i},j(S)-1/2}^{k+1}$. To close our equation, we impose a flux continuity on sub-edges which is numerically expressed as

$$m_{p_{i},j+1/2}^{k+1} \left[\left(U_{p_{i},j+1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j|j+1}^{k+1} + \left(U_{p_{i},j-1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j-1|j|j+1}^{k+1} \right]$$

$$- m_{p_{i},j+1/2}^{k+1} \left[\left(U_{p_{i},j+3/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j+1}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j+2|j+1|j}^{k+1} + \left(U_{p_{i},j+1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j+1}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j+1|j}^{k+1} \right] = 0.$$
(5)

where $\lambda_{p_i,j|j+1}^{k+1} := \mathscr{D}_S^{k+1} \mu_{p_i,j|j+1}^{k+1} \cdot n_{p_i,j|j+1}^{k+1}, \lambda_{p_i,j-1|j|j+1}^{k+1} := \mathscr{D}_S^{k+1} \mu_{p_i,j|j-1}^{k+1} \cdot n_{p_i,j|j+1}^{k+1}, \text{and } \lambda_{p_i,j+1|j|j-1}^{k+1}, \lambda_{p_i,j|j-1}^{k+1} \text{ similarly defined.}$ We denote by $U_{p_i,j}^{k+1}$ the cell center unknowns and $U_{p_i,j+1/2}^{k+1}$ the sub-edge unknowns. (5) gives a local relations $M_{p_i}^{k+1} \bar{U}_{p_i,j+1/2}^{k+1} = N_{p_i}^{k+1} \bar{U}_{p_i,j}^{k+1}$ between the vector of cell centers unknowns $\bar{U}_{p_i,j+1}^{k+1}$, and the vector of sub-edge unknowns $\bar{U}_{p_i,j+1/2}^{k+1}$ around vertices p_i . The behavior of the system depends on the matrices $M_{p_i}^{k+1}$, $N_{p_i}^{k+1}$ and

$$Q_{p_{i},j}^{k+1} := \begin{pmatrix} m_{p_{i},j+1/2}^{k+1} \lambda_{p_{i},j|j+1}^{k+1} & m_{p_{i},j-1/2}^{k+1} \lambda_{p_{i},j+1|j|j-1}^{k+1} \\ m_{p_{i},j+1/2}^{k+1} \lambda_{p_{i},j-1|j|j+1}^{k+1} & m_{p_{i},j-1/2}^{k+1} \lambda_{p_{i},j|j-1}^{k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

We then assume from now on that the sub-edge points are chosen such that $Q_{p_i,j}^{k+1}$ is positive definite and $(M_{p_i}^{k+1})^{-1}N_{p_i}^{k+1}$ is positive. It is then clear that an admissible polygonization must allow this set-up. It is worth mentioning here That if all incident angles at vertices are less than 180 degrees, a good choice of center point X_S^k of each cell S^k combined with a suitable optimization procedure around the vertices will always guarantee the above mentioned condition. The scheme described in [2] is then a good example and $Q_{p_i,i}^{k+1}$ is a diagonal matrix in that case. We recall (2), (3), and (4) to obtain the following equation on each cell

$$m_{S}^{k+1}U_{S}^{k+1} - m_{S}^{k}U_{S}^{k} - \tau \sum_{p_{i}} m_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} \left[\left(U_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j(S)|j(S)+1}^{k+1} + \left(U_{p_{i},j(S)-1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j(S)-1|j(S)|j(S)+1}^{k+1} \right] + m_{p_{i},j(S)-1/2}^{k+1} \left[\left(U_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j(S)+1|j(S)|j(S)-1}^{k+1} + \left(U_{p_{i},j(S)-1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} \right] + m_{p_{i},j(S)-1/2}^{k+1} \left[\left(U_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} \right] \right] + m_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} \left[\left(U_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} \right) \lambda_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k+1} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k+1} \right] \right]$$

$$(7)$$

which together with (5), the initial condition and the boundary condition give the finite volume scheme. Let us define the following discrete H_0^1 semi-norm.

Definition 0.4 (Discrete energy semi-norm). For any $U^k \in \mathcal{V}_h^k$ (set of constant function on cells), we define

$$\|U^{k}\|_{1,\Gamma_{h}^{k}}^{2} := \sum_{S^{k}} \sum_{p_{i}^{k} \in S^{k}} \left(U_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k}, U_{p_{i},j(S)-1/2}^{k} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k} \right) Q_{sym,p_{i},j(S)}^{k} \left(U_{p_{i},j(S)+1/2}^{k} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k}, U_{p_{i},j(S)-1/2}^{k} - U_{p_{i},j(S)}^{k} \right)^{\top}$$
(8)

where the sub-edge values $U_{p_i,j(S)+1/2}^k$ are defined by (5), and the boundary values are taken to be uniformly zero. $Q_{sym,p_i,j(S)}^k = \left(Q_{p_i,j(S)}^k + (Q_{p_i,j(S)}^k)^{\top}\right)/2$ denotes the symmetric part of $Q_{p_i,j(S)}^k$ defined in (6).

We are now able to establish the main properties of the scheme.

Proposition 0.5 The problem (7) has a unique solution.

A PRIORI ESTIMATES

For simplicity in the analysis, we assume X_s^k in the convex hull of the vertices of S^k , as well as the following:

$$|\Upsilon^{k}(t_{k+1}, X_{S}^{k}) - X_{S}^{k+1}| \le Ch\tau, \quad |\Upsilon^{k}(t_{k+1}, X_{p_{i}, j+1/2}^{k}) - X_{p_{i}, j+1/2}^{k+1}| \le Ch\tau, \quad |\Upsilon^{k}(t_{k+1}, y_{p_{i}, j+1/2}^{k}) - y_{p_{i}, j+1/2}^{k+1}| \le Ch\tau$$
(9)

where $y_{p_i,j+1/2}^k$ is the point that defines the sub-edge $\sigma_{p_i,j+1/2}^k$ together with p_i , $\Upsilon^k(t_{k+1}, X_S^k)$, $\Upsilon^k(t_{k+1}, X_{p_i,j+1/2}^k)$ and $\Upsilon^k(t_{k+1}, y_{p_i,j+1/2}^k)$ denote the points with the same barycentric coordinate in the convex hull of the image of the vertices of S^k through Φ .

Theorem 0.6 (Discrete $\mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{L}^2)$, $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{H}^1)$ energy estimate). Let $\{U^k\}_{k=1,\dots,k_{max}}$ be the discrete solution of (7) for a given discrete initial data $U^0 \in \mathcal{V}_h^0$ and the homogenous boundary condition, then there exists a constant C depending solely on t_{max} such that

$$\max_{k=1,\cdots,k_{max}} \|U^k\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Gamma_h^k)}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} \tau \|U^k\|_{1,\Gamma_h^k}^2 \le C \left(\|U^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Gamma_h^0)}^2 + \tau \sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} \|G^k\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Gamma_h^k)}^2 \right)$$
(10)

Theorem 0.7 Discrete $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathbb{L}^2), \mathbb{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{H}^1)$ energy estimate). We assume the sub-matrices $Q_{p_i,j}^k$ (cf. (6)) to be symmetric for any subcell $S_{p_i,j}^k$ around p_i^k . Let $\{U^k\}_{k=1,\cdots,k_{max}}$, be the discrete solution of (7) for given discrete initial data $U^0 \in \mathscr{V}_h^0$ and the homogenous boundary condition, then there exists a constant C depending solely on t_{max} such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} \tau \|\partial_t^{\tau} U^k\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Gamma_h^k)}^2 + \max_{k=1,\cdots,k_{max}} \|U^k\|_{1,\Gamma_h^k}^2 \le C\left(\|U^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Gamma_h^0)}^2 + \|U^0\|_{1,\Gamma_h^0}^2 + \tau \sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} \|G^k\|_{\mathbb{L}^2(\Gamma_h^k)}^2\right)$$
(11)

where $\partial_t^{\tau} U^k = \frac{U^k - U^{k-1}}{\tau}$ is defined as a difference quotient in time.

CONVERGENCE

Theorem 0.8 (Error estimate). We define the piecewise constant error functional on Γ_h^k for $k = 1, \dots, k_{max}$

$$E^k := \sum_{S^k} \left(u^{-l}(t_k, X^k) - U_S^k \right) \chi_{S^k}$$

measuring the pull back $u^{-l}(t_k, \cdot) = u(t_k, \mathscr{P}^k(\cdot))$ of the continuous solution $u(t_k, \cdot)$ of (1) at time t_k and the finite volume solution U^k of (7). χ_{S^k} denotes the characteristic function of the cell S^k . Furthermore, let us assume that $|| E^0 ||_{L^2(\Gamma_h^0)} \leq Ch$, then the error estimate

$$\max_{k=1,\cdots,k_{max}} \|E^{k}\|^{2}_{\mathbb{L}^{2}(\Gamma_{h}^{k})} + \tau \sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} \|E^{k}\|^{2}_{1,\Gamma_{h}^{k}} \le C(h+\tau)^{2}$$
(12)

holds for a constant C depending on the regularity assumptions and the time t_{max} .

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Dziuk, and C. M. Elliott, IMA J. Numer. Anal. 27, 262-292 (2007), ISSN 0272-4979.
- 2. M. Lenz, S. F. Nemadjieu, and M. Rumpf, "Finite volume method on moving surfaces," 2008, pp. 561–568.
- C. Le Potier, "A finite volume method for the approximation of highly anisotropic diffusion operators on unstructured meshes," 2005.
- 4. K. Lipnikov, M. Shashkov, and I. Yotov, Numerische Mathematik 112, 115-152 (2009).