# The effect of sparsity and relaxations thereof in certain function approximation problems

Sebastian Mayer

Institute for Numerical Simulation, University of Bonn

Linz, June 3, 2015



# A (de-)motivating example

Consider the class  $F_d$  of **infinitely differentiable** functions

 $f:[0,1]^d \to \mathbb{R}$ 

such that

$$\|f\| := \sup_{\alpha} \|D^{\alpha}f\|_{\infty} \leq 1.$$

Minimal deterministic worst-case error:

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) := \inf_{A_n} \sup_{f \in F_d} \|f - A_n f\|_{\infty}$$

 $A_n$ : deterministic algorithm **adaptively** using *n* arbitrary **linear functionals** (e.g. Fourier coefficients, line integrals, derivatives)

# A (de-)motivating example

For any r > 0, we have

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) \leq C_{r,d} n^{-r}.$$

Information complexity:

$$n(\varepsilon, d) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \operatorname{error}(n, d) \le \varepsilon\}.$$

Theorem ([Novak, Woźniakowski (2009)]) For all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \geq 2^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}.$$

The curse of dimensionality despite of smoothness

#### Corollary

The  $L_{\infty}$ -approximation of infinitely differentiable functions from  $F_d$  suffers from

#### the curse of dimensionality.

Remarkable:

- Smoothness does not guarantee tractability in high dimensions!
- Asymptotic decay rates give no indication for the complexity!

#### How to overcome the curse?

What additional a priori knowledge guarantees tractability?

Sparsity?
 Structured functions?

What determines the complexity?

asymptotic decay rates vs. preasymptotics

# Notions of tractability



#### Weak tractability (WT):

$$\lim_{1/\varepsilon+d\to\infty}\frac{\log n(\varepsilon,d)}{1/\varepsilon+d}=0.$$

Uniform weak tractability (UWT):

for all  $\alpha,\beta>0$ 

$$\lim_{1/\varepsilon+d\to\infty}\frac{\log n(\varepsilon,d)}{1/\varepsilon^{\alpha}+d^{\beta}}=0.$$

# Quasi-polynomial tractability (QPT):

there exist constants C, p, q > 0 such that

$$n(\varepsilon, d) \leq C \; (1/\varepsilon)^{p(1+\log d)} \; d^{q} \; {}_{6/32}$$

Sobolev spaces of periodic functions

$$\mathbb{T}^d = [0, 2\pi)^d$$

The classical Sobolev space  $H^m(\mathbb{T}^d)$  consists of all periodic functions  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ 

such that

$$D^{\gamma}f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$$
 for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^d : \|\gamma\|_1 \leq m$ .

What norm to equip  $H^m(\mathbb{T}^d)$  with?

A family of equivalent norms on  $H^m(\mathbb{T}^d)$ 

The classical norm:

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{\|\gamma\|_1 \le m} \|D^{\gamma}f\|_2^2 \ symp_m \ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^d |k_i|^2)^m \ |\hat{f}(k)|^2$$

A family of equivalent norms on  $H^m(\mathbb{T}^d)$ 

$$\|f\|_{H^{m,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} := \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} w_{m,p}(k)^2 |\hat{f}(k)|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Weights:  

$$w_{m,p}(k) := (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} |k_i|^p)^{m/p}$$
  
 $w_{m,\infty}(k) := \max\{1, |k_1|, \dots, |k_d|\}^m$ 



p < 1: compressibility constraint on frequency vectors

#### Approximation numbers

Sobolev space of fractional smoothness  $\alpha > 0$ :

$$H^{\alpha,p} := \{ f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) : \|f\|_{H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} < \infty \}$$
$$F_d := \{ f \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) : \|f\|_{H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \le 1 \}$$

Minimal non-adaptive worst-case error (aka. **approximation numbers**):

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) = \inf_{A_n} \sup_{\|f\|_{H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \le 1} \|f - A_n f\|_2$$
$$= a_{n+1}(\operatorname{Id}: H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{T}^d))$$

Only non-adaptive algorithms!

#### Historic perspective

In 1967, J. W. Jerome proved

$$c_{lpha, d} \, \, n^{- lpha / d} \leq \operatorname{error}(n, d) \leq C_{lpha, d} \, \, n^{- lpha / d}$$

Result holds true for **any** of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ .

Where is the compressibility information gone?

#### All information is in the weights

 $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ : non-increasing rearrangement of  $(1/w_{\alpha,p}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ 

#### **Optimal algorithm:**

• Order frequency vectors  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  according to  $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ :

 $k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}, k^{(3)}, \dots$ 

Take

$$A_n^*f(x) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{f}(k^{(j)}) e^{ik^{(j)} \cdot x}$$

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) = \sup_{\|f\|_{H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 1} \|f - A_n^* f\|_2 = \sigma_{n+1}$$

# Counting via entropy



1/m-covering of  $B_p^d$  in  $\ell_\infty^d$ 

**Entropy numbers**  $\varepsilon_n(B_p^d, \ell_\infty^d)$ : minimal number of  $\ell_\infty^d$ -balls of radius  $\varepsilon$  which cover  $B_p^d$ 

#### Characterization by entropy numbers

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) = \inf_{A_n} \sup_{\|f\|_{H^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \le 1} \|f - A_n f\|_2$$

Theorem ([Kühn, M., Ullrich (2015)]) For all  $\alpha > 0$  and all 0 , we have

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) \asymp \varepsilon_{n+1}(B_p^d,\ell_\infty^d)^{\alpha}.$$

(With constants independent of n and d)

#### Characterization by entropy numbers

 $\varepsilon_n(B_p^d, \ell_q^d)$ : behavior in *n* and *d* completely understood!

For  $p = \infty$ , we obtain  $\operatorname{error}(n,d) \asymp_{\alpha,p} \begin{cases} 1 & , \ 1 \leq n \leq 2^d \\ n^{-\alpha/d} & , \ n \geq 2^d \end{cases}$ 

 $\longrightarrow$  curse of dimensionality

For  $p < \infty$ , we obtain

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) \asymp_{\alpha,p} \begin{cases} 1 & , \ 1 \le n \le d \\ \left(\frac{\log(1+d/\log n)}{\log n}\right)^{\alpha/p} & , \ d \le n \le 2^d \\ d^{-\alpha/p} n^{-\alpha/d} & , \ n \ge 2^d \end{cases}$$

 $\longrightarrow$  weak tractability iff  $\alpha > p$  strong compressibility  $\longrightarrow$  almost uniform weak tractability

# Relaxations of sparsity Sparsity Compressibility



 $w_{\alpha,p}(k) = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^d |k_i|^p)^{\alpha/p}$ 

Hyperbolic cross



 $w_{\alpha,p}(k) = \prod_{i=1}^d (1+|k_i|^2)^{\alpha/2}$ 

#### Sobolev spaces of dominating mixed smoothness

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) = \inf_{A_n} \sup_{\|f\|_{H^{\alpha}_{\operatorname{mix}}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq 1} \|f - A_n f\|_2$$

Theorem ([Kühn, Sickel, Ullrich (2015)]) For  $1 \le n \le 4^d$ , we have

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) \leq \left(rac{e^2}{n}
ight)^{rac{lpha}{2+\log d}} . \longrightarrow {\it quasi-polyomial\ tractability}$$

For  $n \ge 4^d$ , we have

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) \asymp n^{-\alpha} (\log n)^{\alpha(d-1)}$$

(with constants decaying super-exponentially in d).

#### Sparsified Sobolev spaces

$$H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{T}^{d}, \mathbf{s}) := \{ f \in H^{\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{T}^{d}) : \hat{f}(k) = 0 \text{ if } \operatorname{supp}(k) > \mathbf{s} \}$$

Theorem ([Kühn, M., Ullrich (2015)])

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) \asymp \begin{cases} 1 & n \leq 2^{s} \binom{d}{s}, \\ d^{s} n^{-\alpha/s} & n > 2^{s} \binom{d}{s}. \end{cases}$$

 $\longrightarrow$  polynomial tractability

(this time the asymptotic decay is crucial!)

# **Ridge functions**



$$f: \quad \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d o \mathbb{R},$$
  
 $f(x) = g(a \cdot x) = g(\sum_{i=1}^d a_i x_i).$ 

 $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ : ridge direction, g: univariate profile

#### Ridge functions on the Euclidean unit ball

For 
$$\alpha > 0$$
 and  $0 ,  $(p < 1: \text{ compressibility})$   
 $\mathcal{R}_d^{\alpha,p}(B_2^d) = \left\{ g(a \cdot) : B_2^d \to \mathbb{R}, \|g\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)} \le 1, \|a\|_p \le 1 \right\}$   
 $\alpha = s + \beta, \|g\|_{\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}} = \max\{\|g\|_{\infty}, \|g^{(1)}\|_{\infty}, \dots, \|g^{(s)}\|_{\infty}, |g^{(s)}|_{\beta}\}$$ 

Minimal deterministic worst-case sampling error (aka. sampling numbers):

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) = \inf_{S_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{R}_d^{\alpha,p}} \|f - S_n f\|_{\infty}$$

 $S_n$ : deterministic algorithm **adaptively** using *n* function values

#### Lipschitz spaces

#### Consider general multivariate Lipschitz functions

$$f: B_2^d \to \mathbb{R}.$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) = \inf_{S_n} \sup_{\|f\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha) \leq 1}} \|f - S_n f\|_{\infty} \asymp \begin{cases} 1 & :n \leq 2^d \\ n^{-\alpha/d} & :n > 2^d \end{cases}$$

For univariate Lipschitz functions

$$g: [-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

it is well-known that

$$\operatorname{error}(n,d) = \inf_{S_n} \sup_{\|g\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha) \leq 1}} \|g - S_n g\|_{\infty} \asymp n^{-\alpha}$$

Why should recovery of ridge functions be hard?

#### Concentration of measure phenomenon:

 $a \cdot x$  will be small with high probability for large d!

#### Fooling profile:

In case  $\alpha = 1$ , consider  $f(x) = g^*(a \cdot x)$ .



For *n* sampling points,

$$\tau = \varepsilon_n(\mathbb{S}_p^{d-1}, \ell_2^d)^2.$$

Characterization by entropy numbers

Theorem ([M., Ullrich, Vybíral (2014)]) For all  $\alpha > 0$  and all 0

$$arepsilon_n(\mathbb{S}_p^{d-1},\ell_2^d)^{\mathbf{2lpha}}\lesssim \operatorname{error}(n,d)\lesssim arepsilon_{n/\left(rac{d+s}{s}
ight)}(B_2^d,\ell_{p'}^d)^{\mathbf{a}}$$



# Tractability results (Euclidean ball)

Theorem ([M., Ullrich, Vybíral (2014)])

 $L_{\infty}$ -reconstruction of ridge functions from the class  $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{\alpha,p}(B_{2}^{d})$  in the deterministic setting

suffers from the curse of dimensionality iff

$$p = 2,$$

▶ is intractable if p < 2 and</p>

$$\alpha \leq \frac{1}{1/p - 1/2},$$

▶ is weakly tractable if p < 2 and

$$\alpha>\frac{1}{1/\max\left\{ 1,p\right\} -1/2},$$

## Ridge functions on the cube

For  $\alpha > 0$  and 0 , <math>(p < 1: compressibility) $\mathcal{R}_d^{\alpha,p}([-1,1]^d) = \left\{ g(a \cdot) : [-1,1]^d \to \mathbb{R}, \|g\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\alpha)} \le 1, \|a\|_p \le 1 \right\}$ 

#### Probabilistc worst-case sampling error:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{prob-error}(n,d) &:= \\ \inf_{S_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{R}_d^n} \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \mathbb{P}(\|f - S_n f\|_\infty \le \varepsilon) \ge 1/2 \} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem ([Doerr, M., Rudolf])

Let  $\alpha > 1$  and 0 .

1. For  $d < n \le \exp(d/8)/2$ , we have

$$\left[\frac{1}{\log(n)}\right]^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(1/p-1)} \lesssim \operatorname{prob-error}(n,d) \lesssim \left[\frac{1}{\log(n-d)}\right]^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(1/p-1)}$$

2. For  $n \ge 2^d + d$ , we have

$$n^{-\alpha} \lesssim \operatorname{prob-error}(n,d) \lesssim 2^{\alpha d} (n-d)^{-\alpha}.$$

# Tractability results (cube)

Theorem ([Doerr, M., Rudolf])

 $L_{\infty}$ -reconstruction of ridge functions from the class  $\mathcal{R}_{d}^{\alpha,p}([-1,1]^{d})$  in the probabilistic setting

1. suffers from the curse of dimensionality iff

$$p=1,$$

2. is intractable iff 0 and

$$\alpha \leq \frac{1}{1/p-1},$$

3. is weakly tractable iff 0 and

$$\alpha > \frac{1}{1/p - 1}$$

Summary

	Sobolev	ridge(ball)	ridge(cube)
CURSE	$p = \infty$	<i>p</i> = 2	p=1
WT	lpha > p	$\alpha > (\frac{1}{\max\{1,p\}} - \frac{1}{2})^{-1}$	$\alpha > (\frac{1}{p} - 1)^{-1}$
(almost) UWT	strong compressibility $(p \ll 1)$		
QPT	hyperbolic cross	?	
РТ	sparsity	$ g'(0)  > \kappa$	sparsity, $ g'(0) >\kappa,\;a\geq 0$

#### Conclusions

For high-dimensional approximation problems:

- Asymptotic rates can have a very low significance
- Complexity is often determined by preasymptotics
- Smoothness can be enough
- Structure does not always help

# References I

- A. Cohen, I. Daubechies, R. DeVore, G. Keryacharian, D. Picard

Capturing ridge functions in high dimensions from point queries Constructive Approximation (2012) 35:225–243.

- - B. DOERR, S. MAYER, D. RUDOLF Reconstructing ridge functions on the cube: tractability results Work in progress
- A. Kolleck, J. Vybíral

*On some aspects of approximation of ridge functions* J. Approximation Theory (2015) 194:35–61.

- T. KÜHN, S. MAYER, T. ULLRICH

Counting via entropy: new preasymptotics for the approximation numbers of Sobolev embeddings

ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1505.00631 [math.NA], 2015

# References II



#### T. KÜHN, W. SICKEL, T. ULLRICH Approximation of mixed order Sobolev functions on the

d-torus—Asymptotics, preasymptotics and d-dependence Constructive Approximation, to appear



S. Mayer, T. Ullrich, J. Vybíral Entropy and sampling numbers of classes of ridge functions Constructive Approximation (accepted), DOI 10.1007/s00365-014-9267-x

#### E. NOVAK, H. WOŹNIAKOWSI

Approximation of infinitely differentiable multivariate functions is intractable

J. Complexity 25:398-404, 2009.



#### P. SIEDLECKI

Uniform weak tractability

J. Complexity 29:438-453, 2012

#### P. SIEDLECKI, M. WEIMAR

Notes on (s, t)-weak tractability: a refined classification of problems with (sub)exponential information complexity ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1411.3466 [math.NA], 2014

# References III